



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,919	11/10/2005	Yutaka Inoue	1907-0222PUS1	3787

2292 7590 08/05/2008
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

SHALLENBERGER, JULIE A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2885

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/05/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/531,919	Applicant(s) INOUE ET AL.	
	Examiner JULIE A. SHALLENBERGER	Art Unit 2885	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JULIE A. SHALLENBERGER. (3) Jong-Suk (James) Lee.
 (2) Paul Sewell (Reg. 61,784). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 8, 9, 10, 29, and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shonefld (6,364,505) and Ogiwara (2003/0210222).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's arguments regarding the reflection layers as recited in claims 8, 9, 10, and 29 were persuasive so the rejections with the Ogiwara will be withdrawn. However, the Ogiwara still reads on independent claim 37. Mr Sewell said he will discuss the content of the interview with his client and timely file an amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jong-Suk (James) Lee/ SPE, AU 2885

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required